FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. DEC. 2016)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

ESTATE OF WILLIAM JOEL DIXON AND DONNA DIXON, on Behalf of the Wrongful Death Beneficiaries of William Joel Dixon

PLAINTIFF

v.	CIVIL ACTION No. 1:17-cv-263-LG-RHW	
GEORGE COUNTY, CITY OF LUCEDALE, AND CARMON SUE BRANNAN, In Her Individual Capacity		DEFENDANTS

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

This Order, including all deadlines, has been established with the participation of all parties and can be modified only by order of the Court on a showing of good cause supported with affidavits, other evidentiary materials, or reference to portions of the record.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1.	ESTIMATED DAYS OF TRIAL:	_10 days	
	ESTIMATED TOTAL NUMBER OF WITNESSES:	~ 30	
	EXPERT TESTIMONY EXPECTED: Yes	NO. OF EXPERTS:	~ 10

2. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION [ADR].

Alternative dispute resolution techniques appear helpful and will be used in this civil action as follows:

Alternative dispute resolution may be helpful at some point during the litigation. The parties will contact the Court when and if advisable.

3. CONSENT TO TRIAL BY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

The parties do not consent to trial by a United States Magistrate Judge.

FORM 1	(ND/SD	MISS.	DEC.	20	16)
--------	--------	-------	------	----	----	---

4	Disc	OT	SURE
→.	אכוע		OUND

	The pre-discovery disclosure requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P.26(a)(1) and U.L.Civ.R. 16(d) and (a) have been complied with fully.	d 26
5.	MOTIONS; ISSUE BIFURCATION.	
	Staged resolution, or bifurcation of the issues for trial in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 42 (b) will assist in the prompt resolution of this action. Accordingly, the Court orders that:)
	Any punitive damage phase of the trial will be bifurcated.	
	Early filing of the following motion(s) might significantly affect the scope of discovery or otherwise expedite the resolution of this action:	
	Defendants reserve the right to assert all defenses concerning governmental immunity, included qualified immunity. The Court orders that immunity defense motions may be filed at any time.	
	prior to the motions deadline. Discovery may be conducted on all issues at this time. The Defendants do not waive their immunity defenses by proceeding in this manner.	
6.	DISCOVERY PROVISIONS AND LIMITATIONS.	
	A. Interrogatories are limited to <u>25</u> succinct questions.	
	B. Requests for Production are limited to25 succinct questions.	
	C. Requests for Admissions are limited to25 succinct questions.	
	D. Depositions are limited to the parties, experts, and no more than	

_____ fact witness depositions per party without additional approval of the Court.

FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. DEC. 2016)

E. The parties have complied with the requirements of Local Rule 26(e)(2)(B) regarding discovery of electronically stored information and have concluded as follows [The parties MUST state whether or not there is ESI and, if so, how they propose to address it]:

At this time, the parties are unaware of any ESI. For any relevant e-mail communications that become an issue, the parties will produce such communications via hard copy. The parties do not expect to perform any forensic searches on computer hard drives. The parties are not aware of any discoverable deleted ESI. The parties do not anticipate requesting any discoverable ESI with embedded data and/or metadata. The parties are not aware of any discoverable ESI that is not reasonably accessible at this time. In the event that any privileged information is inadvertently disclosed via any ESI, the parties agree that the privilege is not waived.

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	1. The parties have agreed that defendant may obtain a Fed. R. Civ. P. 35 (L.U.Civ.R. 35) medical examination of the plaintiff (within subpoena range of the court) by a physician who has not examined the plaintiff, and that defendant may arrange the examination without further order of the court.
√	2. Pursuant to Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules of Evidence, the attorney-client privilege and the work-product protections are not waived by any disclosure connected within this litigation pending before this Court. Further, the disclosures are not waived in any other federal or state proceeding.
\checkmark	3. Plaintiff must execute an appropriate, HIPAA-compliant medical authorization.
\checkmark	4. The court desires to avoid the necessity of filing written discovery motions where court participation in an informal discussion of the issue might resolve it, even after the parties have been unsuccessful in a good faith attempt to do so. Consequently, before a party may serve any discovery motion, counsel must first confer in good faith as required by F.R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1). If the attorney conference does not resolve the dispute, counsel must contact the chambers of the magistrate judge to request a telephonic conference to discuss the issue as contemplated by F.R. Civ.P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v). Only if the telephonic conference with the judge is unsuccessful in resolving the issue may a party file a discovery
	motion 5. Other:

F. The court imposes the following further discovery provisions or limitations:

FORM 1	(ND/SD	MISS	DEC	2016))

A 1 11 1	D ' '
Additional	Provisions:
1 luuluollul	I I O V I DI O II D.

7.	SC	CHEDULING DEADLINES						
	A.	Trial. This action is so	et for <u>JURY TRIA</u>	L	during a <u>th</u>	nree-week	term of co	ourt
		beginning on: March	4, 2019 ,	at <u>9:00</u>	,a.m	_, in <u>Gulfpo</u>	ort	,
		Mississippi, before Un	ited States <u>District</u>	- -	Judge Lo	uis Guirola,	Jr.	_·
	ANY CONFLICTS WITH THIS TRIAL DATE MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING TO THE TRIAL JUDGE IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT OF THIS CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER.							
	B. Pretrial. The pretrial conference is set on: February 20-21, 2019 , at 9:00 , a.m. in Gulfport , Mississippi, before United States District						<u>a.m.</u> ,	
		Judge Louis Guirola,	Jr					
	C.	Discovery. All discov	very must be comple	eted by: Se	eptember 6,	2018		
	D.	Amendments. Motion	ns for joinder of par	rties or ame	endments to	the pleading	gs must be	
		filed by: February 1, 2	2018					
	Ε.	Experts. The parties	' experts must be do	esignated b	y the follow	ing dates:		
		1. Plaintiff(s):	March 1, 2018			·		
		2. Defendant(s):	April 16, 2018			·		

Form 1	(ND/SD	MISS.	DEC.	2016)
--------	--------	-------	------	------	---

8. MOTIONS. All disposit	ive motions and h	Daubert-type motions challenging	another party's expert
must be filed by: Septen	nber 20, 2018	The deadline for motions in	limine is fourteen days
before the pretrial confe	rence; the deadlin	ne for responses is seven days befo	ore the pretrial
conference.			
9. SETTLEMENT CONFERE		1 07 0010 100	
		ovember 27, 2018 , at 1:30	
<u>Gulfport</u> , I	Mississippi, befor	re United States Magistrate	Judge
Robert H. Walker	··		
Seven (7) days before the	settlement confe	erence, the parties must submit via	e-mail to the magistrate
judge's chambers an upda	nted CONFIDEN	TIAL SETTLEMENT MEMORA	NDUM. All parties are
required to be present at t	he conference un	aless excused by the Court. If a par	ty believes the scheduled
settlement conference wo	uld not be produc	ctive and should be cancelled, the	party is directed to inform
the Court via e-mail of the	e grounds for the	ir belief at least seven (7) days prio	or to the conference.
10. REPORT REGARDING A	DR. On or before	re (7 days before FPTC) February	13, 2019 , the parties
must report to the under	signed all ADR e	efforts they have undertaken to con	nply with the Local Rules or
provide sufficient facts t	o support a findi	ng of just cause for failure to comp	oly. See L.U.Civ.R.83.7(f)(3).
So Ordered:			
December 19, 2017	/s/ Robert	H. Walker	
DATE	UNITED S	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	